#### JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

Case 218-003056-WY Document 1 Filed 06/04/18 Page 10/9 3 056-The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil do					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	to the use of t			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS N.F., R.F., and D.F.				DEFENDANTS Lower Merion Scho	ool District	13	3	<u>0 5</u>	S
14.1 ., 14.1 ., and <i>D</i> .1 .				Lower Wenon Cone	or District				
(b) County of Residence of	First Listed Plainwiff M	lontgomery		County of Residence	of First Liste	d Defendant N	Montgomery		
•	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFY CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF					
	(			NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE TH VOLVED.	IE LOCATION &	)F	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A		<del>)</del>		Attorneys (If Known)					
David G. C. Arnold, Esqu Suite 106, 920 Matsonfor				Claudia Huot, Esqu Wisler Pearlstine, L		Inrietown Roa	d_Suita 110		
West Conshohocken, Pa.		22		Blue Bell, Pa. 1942			a-ounte 110		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" in ()	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State  1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State						
									2 U.S. Government Defendant
				en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		O 6	06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	I F	DREETTURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	l others	STATUTE	is.
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	Y 🗆 62	5 Drug Related Seizure		al 28 USC 158	375 False Cl		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	☐ 69	of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 423 Withd 28 US	Irawal SC 157	<ul><li>400 State Re</li><li>410 Antitrus</li></ul>		nent
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPER	TY RIGHTS	<ul> <li>430 Banks at</li> <li>450 Comment</li> </ul>		g
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury			☐ 820 Copyr	rights	☐ 460 Deporta	ation	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal			☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trade		☐ 470 Rackete Corrupt	er Influenc Organizati	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	-125906	LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	<ul> <li>480 Consum</li> <li>490 Cable/S</li> </ul>		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	TY 0 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (	1395ff)	☐ 850 Securitie	es/Commo	dities/
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	O 72	Act  O Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black ☐ 863 DIWC	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchan B90 Other St	tatutory Ac	ctions
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	n 74	Relations 10 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI (4		☐ 891 Agricult ☐ 893 Environ		atters
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		I Family and Medical	003 KG. (	.00(2))	☐ 895 Freedon		
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	O 79	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation			Act ☐ 896 Arbitrat	ion	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:	NS 0 79	I Employee Retirement Income Security Act		L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 899 Adminis	strative Pro	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		income security Act	or De	fendant)	Agency	Decision	•
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence			② 871 IRS— 26 US	-Third Party SC 7609	950 Constitu		f
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General	1041920	IMMIGRATION	ł				
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application	1				
1	Other	540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights	er   13 40	55 Other Immigration Actions					
$\wedge$	3 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -			İ				
		Conditions of Confinement	į						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is									
	te Court	Appellate Court		pened Anothe (specify)	r District	6 Multidistri Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	20 U.S.C. 1415 a	nd 29 U.S.C. 794a	re filing (i	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless div	versity):		$\overline{}$	
44. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca This case seeks a	iuse: award of counsel fe	es, cos	ts, and expert fees in	an IDEA	Section 504 ca	ise 🗎		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	•	EMANDS ss than \$15		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	١ .	complain No	st:
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions):	JUDGE				T NUMBER	JUN	4 20	13
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	RNEY	OF REGORD					
06/04/2013	() wad	6. C. A	MA	erd_					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	/		/	BIDGE		MAG. JUD	)GE		
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT /	APPLYING IFF		JUDGE					

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

3056

FOR THE EASTERN DISCRETE PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: Protected from disclosure (F.R.	.Civ.P. 5.2 and Local Rule 5.1.3)
Address of Defendant: 302 East Montgomery Avenue, A	Ardmore, Pennsylvania 19003-3399
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Narberth Borough/Lowe	
(Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	- /
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	a)) Yes□ N6CK
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No <sup>1</sup> X
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Not Apply Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No <b>X</b>
<ol><li>Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?</li></ol>	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No <b>X</b> 0
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No <del>V</del>
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi	hts case filed by the same individual?
	Yes No.
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2.   Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Phabor-Management Relations.	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. X Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability Asbestos
9. Segurities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. Docial Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT	
L )) A VIC 6. C. Arnold , counsel of record do hereby cert	ify:
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	i belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
1.413	19819
DATE: 6 4 13 Wave 3. ( . A)	Attorney I.D.# .   1 2013
Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only of the	here has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	r within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	10
DATE: 6/4/13 Wavd J. (. Apr	<u>49819</u>
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

### Case 2:13-cv-03056-WY Document 1 Filed 06/04/13 Page 3 of 9



# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

# CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM By and Through His Parents and

	Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
	(610) 397-0722	(610) 397-0723	Davidgcarnold@a	ol.com
	6/4/13 Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
		1 .140	Agraph .	
	(f) Standard Managemen	t – Cases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	(x)
	commonly referred to		racks (a) through (d) that are ecial or intense management by led explanation of special	()
	(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	olving claims for personal inj	ury or property damage from	( )
	(c) Arbitration – Cases re	quired to be designated for a	rbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.	.2. ( )
		es requesting review of a dec denying plaintiff Social Secur	ision of the Secretary of Health rity Benefits.	( )
	(a) Habeas Corpus – Case	es brought under 28 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	( )
	SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CASE MAN	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
	plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and se side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other	Case Management Track Describer a copy on all defendants. e event that a defendant does not shall, with its first appeara	ay Reduction Plan of this court, co signation Form in all civil cases at the (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the sent agree with the plaintiff regardnee, submit to the clerk of court and Track Designation Form specifying signed.	e time of e reverse ding said serve on
Lower	Merion School Dis	strict	NO.	
D.F	in Their Own Right v.	·	13	305
	ians, R.F. and D.F		CIVIL ACTION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case 2:13-cv-03056-WY Document 1 Filed 06/04/13 Page 4 of 9



## TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



N.F. By and Through His Parents and Guardians, R. F. and D.F., and R.F. and

D.F. in their Own Right<sup>1</sup>

13

3056

**Plaintiffs** 

Civil Action No.

V.

Lower Merion School District 302 East Montgomery Avenue Ardmore, Pennsylvania 19003-3399

FILED

MICHAELE KUNZ elerk

Defendant

### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs, N.F., R.F., and D.F., by and through their undersigned counsel, now file the present Complaint in order to recover their counsel fees, expert fees, and related costs incurred in their successful prosecution of their special education claims under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. §1400 et. seq., hereinafter referred to as the "IDEA," and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. §794. In support of their claims N.F., R.F., and D.F. aver the following:

### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiffs, R.F. and D.F. are the parents and guardians of N. F.
- 2. N.F., R.F., and D.F. all live together in a home located in Narberth, Pennsylvania.
- 3. Defendant, Lower Merion School District, is located in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, and is charged with the legal responsibility, among other things, of

<sup>1</sup> N.F., R.F., and D.F.'s true names and addresses do not appear in this pleading pursuant to Rule 5.2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Rule 5.1.3 of the Rules of Civil Procedure of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Said information will be made available to this Honorable Court upon request.

request.

- providing both regular education, and special education services, to school age children residing in Lower Merion Township and Narberth Borough.
- The Administration Building for Lower Merion is located at 302 East Montgomery Avenue in Ardmore, Pennsylvania 19003-3399.

### **JURISDICTION**

5. This Honorable Court has jurisdiction over the claims set forth under 20 U.S.C. § 1415 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 6. N.F. is a 13 year old student who resides in the Lower Merion School District, and who presently attends AIM Academy, hereinafter referred to as "AIM." Prior to starting at AIM in January of 2011, N.F. attended Lower Merion's Penn Valley Elementary School from Kindergarten until Fifth Grade.
- 7. N.F. experienced problems with reading, writing, spelling, and math during his entire time at Penn Valley.
- 8. In the Fall of 2007, Lower Merion evaluated N.F. at his mother, D.F.'s request, in order to determine whether he was eligible for services under the IDEA.
- Lower Merion subsequently determined that N.F. had a specific learning disability, and thereby qualified for services under the IDEA.
- 10. Individualized Education Plans, hereinafter referred to as "IEPs," were thereafter prepared for N.F. for the remainder of the 2007-2008 school year, the 2008-2009 school year, the 2009-2010 school year, and the 2010-2011 school year.
- 11. D.F. monitored N.F.'s progress at Penn Valley, and helped N.F. with homework on a nightly basis.

- 12. Although Lower Merion always reported that N.F. was making progress at Penn Valley, D.F. remained skeptical due to her observations of N.F.'s work.
- 13. D.F. repeatedly voiced her concerns to Lower Merion about N.F.'s academic progress.
- 14. Concerned about her son's lack of progress, D.F. once again requested Lower Merion to re-evaluate N.F. in the Spring of 2010.
- 15. Lower Merion subsequently performed the re-evaluation.
- 16. When N.F. did not respond to Lower Merion's special education programming, R.F. and D.F. started to investigate alternative placements for N.F.
- 17. D.F. then called an IEP Meeting in December of 2010 in order to share her concerns about N.F.'s progress, and Lower Merion's special education programming.
- 18. At an IEP Meeting conducted on December 1, 2010, D.F. placed Lower Merion on notice that she was considering placing N.F. at AIM, and she advised Lower Merion of her concerns regarding N.F.'s special education programming.
- 19. Following the December 2010 IEP Meeting, AIM tested N.F., and said testing indicated that N.F. was nowhere near the academic levels that Lower Merion had previously reported.
- 20. R.F. and D.F. thereafter removed N.F. from Lower Merion in December of 2010, and started N.F. at AIM in January of 2011.
- 21. N. F., R.F. and D.F. filed for Due Process on October 3, 2012, seeking relief under both the IDEA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. N. F., R.F. and D.F. sought compensatory education from October 3, 2010, until December 31, 2010. N. F., R.F.

- and D.F. also sought tuition reimbursement for N.F.'s tuition at AIM from January 1, 2011, through the end of the 2012-2013 school year.
- 22. The Due Process case was tried over four (4) hearing days, and Hearing Officer William F. Culleton, Jr., Esquire, subsequently issued a Due Process Decision in favor of N. F., R.F. and D.F. on February 28, 2013. In his decision, Hearing Officer Culleton awarded N. F., R.F. and D.F. both compensatory education, and partial tuition reimbursement for N.F.'s placement at AIM.

### **COUNT I**

- 23. N. F., R.F. and D.F. incorporate herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 22 of this Complaint as if set forth herein at length.
- 24. Hearing Officer Culleton's Hearing Decision in favor of N. F., R.F. and D.F. makes them the prevailing party in the administrative proceeding, and in light of this fact, they are entitled to recoup their counsel fees, expert fees, and expenses pursuant to 20 U.S.C. § 1415 and 29 U.S.C. § 794a.
- 25. N. F., R.F. and D.F. incurred \$38,000.00 in counsel fees to date, and \$1,024.39 in expenses to date.
- 26. The aforementioned counsel fees and expenses were reasonably incurred, and are appropriate in light of counsel fees and legal expenses charged by attorneys in the Philadelphia Metropolitan marketplace.
- 27. N. F., R.F. and D.F. also incurred \$6,395.00 in expert fees to date in conjunction with their prosecution of their case.
- 28. The aforementioned expert fees were reasonably incurred, and are appropriate in light of expert fees charged in the Philadelphia Metropolitan marketplace.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, N. F., R.F. and D.F. respectfully request that this Honorable Court grant them the following relief against Defendant, Lower Merion School District:

- (1). Award them the \$38,000.00 in counsel fees plus interest incurred to date pursuant to 20 U.S.C. § 1415 and 29 U.S.C. § 794a;
- (2). Award them all counsel fees plus interest incurred in conjunction with bringing the present case to collect counsel fees pursuant to 20 U.S.C. § 1415 and 29 U.S.C. § 794a;
- (3). Award them the \$1,024.39 in expenses plus interest incurred to date pursuant to 20 U.S.C. § 1415 and 29 U.S.C. § 794a;
- (4). Award them all expenses incurred in conjunction with bringing the present case to collect expenses pursuant to 20 U.S.C. § 1415 and 29 U.S.C. § 794a;
- (5). Award them \$6,395.00 in expert fees plus interest incurred to date pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 794a; and
- (6). Award them all expert fees incurred in conjunction with bringing the present case to collect expert fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 794a.

Respectfully submitted,

David G. C. Arnold

Pennsylvania Attorney Identification No. 49819

Suite 106, 920 Matsonford Road West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428 (610) 397-0722

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Dated: June 4, 2013